

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/046,749 | 01/17/2002 | Gang Huang | HUANG 13-12-6 | 2534 | |
| | 7590 10/11/200 NISON & SELTER PL | EXAMINER | | | |
| 7th Floor 2000 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-3307 | | | NGO, NGUYEN HOANG | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 5 | | | 2616 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/11/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

| | | TH |
|-----------------|--------------|---|
| Application No. | Applicant(s) | , |
| 10/046,749 | HUANG ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Nguyen Ngo | 2616 | |

| Defense the Fillian of an America D 1 f | | TIOMING ET ME. | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Nguyen Ngo | 2616 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) In the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In | | | | | | |
| b) Mark The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7. | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered by | 000100 | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | | | | |
| 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | • | ` , | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | it hafara ar an tha data of filing a N | ation of Appeal will be | st bar amtauad | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidat | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ils to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | Sule _ | | | | |
| | Mmmm | BACKED | | | | |
| | SUPERVISORY F | BACKER ATENT EXAMINER | 3 | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant submits that Haartsen fails to disclose a home network. Applicant further states that Haartsen simply is directed towards a long range communications between a transmitter and a receiver. Examiner thus correlates this to a home network, as a network is simply a connection of nodes, points, or locations connected by means of data, voice, and video communication for the purpose of exchange and the transmitter of Haartsen to correlate to a home network device. It should further be noted that Haartsen discloses that the invention provides a method and system for providing equalizer training in communication systems (home network, page 3 [0031]).

It should further be noted that in response to applicant's arguments, the recitation home network has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Applicant further submits that Haartsen fails to disclose a station ID parameter and that Haartsen relies on a single transmitter and thus would not require an ID parameter to identify itself from other transmitter. However it should be noted that Haartsen discloses that wireless communication systems have been used to convey a variety of information between multiple locations (page 1 [0001]) and that those the embodiments as seen in Haartsen are merely illustrative and that other embodiments are possible (page 5 [0050]). Thus as stated in the Office Action, Haartsen discloses of a transmitter and a receiver of a transmission system, and provides the motivation of training a radio receiver according to a training sequence dependent on a specified transmitter to produce successful communication between the transmitter and the receiver. Haartsen further discloses that the invention relates to radio communications for training an equalizer in a radio receiver (page 1 [0001]). It is well known in the art that radio communications not only incorporates a single transmitter and a single receiver, but also covers a plurality of transmitter and receivers. Haartsen's simply uses the concept of a single transmitter to receiver to illustrate the method of training a radio receiver in a simple and easy way. Examiner thus relies on Chan to disclose the concept of a station ID parameter with a plurality of transmitters. It should further be noted that the Applicant states that Haartsen's invention can conceivably be applied to a plurality of transmitters and receivers (remarks page 9).

Applicant further submits that the combination of Haartsen and Chan fails to disclose the limitation of determining one or more training values associated with data packets on a packet-by-packet basis. Examiner however disagrees. Examiner relies on Haartsen to disclose the concept of training a radio receiver using a header and corresponding flag to identify a corresponding reference training sequence (abstract) and that the flag provides an indication to the receiver to aid the receiver in selecting a corresponding reference training sequence, page 4 [0041]). Examiner thus correlates this to determining one or more training values associated with data packets on a packet by packet bases. Examiner simply uses Chan to disclose the concept of a station ID parameter representing a plurality of transmitters having different transmission characteristics on a packet-by-packet basis. It should be noted that each packet that is sent from transmitter to receiver would have different transmission characteristics on packet-by-packet basis due to the fact that the transmitters are different and located differently.